

REMARKS

1. Claim Rejections – 35 USC 112

Claims 3-10 and 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement.

5 **Response:**

As noted in the advisory action upon applicant's first reply filed on 08/22/2007, the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, now are withdrawn.

2. Objections to the Drawings

10 **Response:**

As noted in the advisory action upon applicant's first reply filed on 08/22/2007, the submitted replacement sheet of Fig. 5 is acknowledged and entered by Examiner. Therefore, the objections to the drawings now are overcome.

15 **3. Claim Rejections – 35 USC 102**

Claims 1-2, 11-13, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hung et al. (US 6,606,282).

Response:

20 In the advisory action upon applicant's first reply filed on 08/22/2007, Examiner states that claims 3-10 and 14-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 1 has been amended to include all of the limitations recited in claims 2 and 3. Claims 2 and 3 have been cancelled accordingly. The dependency of claims 4-6 has been amended due to the cancellation of claim 3. In addition,
25 claim 7 has been amended to become an independent claim having all of the limitations recited in claim 1 and 2 incorporated therein. Claim 11 has been cancelled. Similarly, claim 12 has been amended to include all of the limitations recited in claims 13 and 14. Claims 13

and 14 have been cancelled accordingly. The dependency of claims 15 and 16 has been amended due to the cancellation of claim 14. In addition, claim 17 has been amended to become an independent claim having all of the limitations recited in claim 12 and 13 incorporated therein. Claim 20 has been cancelled. The applicant therefore believes that the claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) have been overcome. In addition, as the above-identified claim amendments filed after the final rejection are to place the instant application in condition for allowance without raising any new issues requiring further consideration or raising any new matter, acceptance of the claim amendments is respectfully requested.

Briefly summarized, claims 2, 3, 11, 13, 14, and 20 have been cancelled, independent claims 1, 7, 12, and 17 have been placed in condition for allowance, and dependent claims 4-6, 8-10, 15-16, and 18-19 have been placed in condition for allowance as these claims are dependent upon allowable independent claims 1, 7, 12, and 17, respectively. In short, the instant application has been placed in condition for allowance.

4. Conclusion

Thus, all pending claims 1, 4-10, 12, and 15-19 are submitted to be in condition for allowance for at least the reasons presented above. The applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned if there are informalities that can be resolved in a phone conversation, or if Examiner has any ideas or suggestions for further advancing the prosecution of this case.

Appl. No. 10/711,739
Amdt. dated October 17, 2007
Reply to Office action of September 14, 2007

Sincerely yours,

Winston Hsu

Date: 10.17.2007

Winston Hsu, Patent Agent No. 41,526

5 P.O. BOX 506, Merrifield, VA 22116, U.S.A.

Voice Mail: 302-729-1562

Facsimile: 806-498-6673

e-mail : winstonhsu@naipo.com

- 10 Note: Please leave a message in my voice mail if you need to talk to me. (The time in D.C. is 12 hours behind the Taiwan time, i.e. 9 AM in D.C. = 9 PM in Taiwan.)